A central role for marrow fat

**Weight-bearing mechanics of human joints**

In previous experiments, Dr Simkin and colleagues investigated the structures and mechanics of different weight-bearing joints of human and animal subjects. Most weight-bearing joints move when a convex surface revolves around a convex centre (such as the elbow joint). Using radiographic imaging of thin slab sections, the team measured bony structure in human cadavers. There they examined the concave and convex bearing surfaces of shoulders, elbows, wrists, hips, knees, and feet. The team were particularly interested in the subchondral plates – the layer of bone just below the cartilage in these joints. As expected, they noted that the plates of the concave joint members were significantly thicker than those of their convex partners. Dr Simkin interpreted these striking structural differences as the result of corresponding functional differences within each joint. He put forward the idea that the plate of dense bone in the concave surface resists strong tensile forces and makes that side stiff whereas the thin corresponding layer on the convex side allows it to be relatively flexible. In essence, each side of the joint experiences the same loading forces, but the stresses differ as does the structure.

This distinction may be explained as follows: A load into the concave surface drives the constituent parts away from each other and weakens the structure. On the other hand, the same load on the convex surface drives the surface constituents together and enforces its strength.

**THE REMARKABLE STRENGTH OF BONE**

Whenever we walk or run or jump, we put large mechanical stresses on the bones in our hips, spine, and pelvis. Not they don’t break. In subchondral bone, this strength and structural support is provided by specialised elastic bone tissue: full of compartments that are limited by thin walls of bone that are known as trabeculae. Wolff’s Law of bone architecture teaches that the trabecular orientation and thickness reflect patterns of tensile and compressive stress, i.e., they are the reaction of living bone to the mechanical stresses imposed on it. Wolff’s law suggests that there are dynamic internal forces as well as static and dynamic external weight bearing forces (tensile and compressive stresses) acting on the bone.

Dr Simkin was intrigued by the conundrum that seemingly huge loading pressures are exerted on joints during normal everyday movement, 18 MPa (megapascal) or 2811 PSI (pounds per square inch). During high-impact events such as running, jumping or falling, loading pressures are even higher. In order to support the massive loads involved in the normal use of the hip, the femoral head might be expected to contain a far more robust system of trabeculae, rather than the relatively thin network that exists. Indeed, the trabeculae are slender and become progressively more so as they near the contact surface.

**THE HYDRAULIC MODEL**

Noting that a fragile network of subchondral trabeculae supports the load-bearing area of the femoral head, Dr Simkin put forward a hydraulic hypothesis to reconcile this seeming inconsistency. His hypothesis states that the trabeculae, in fact, do experience the high loads recorded and most of that energy is transmitted away from the loading point and transmitted to adjacent cells. For example, an 18 MPa load may produce a 17 MPa pressure in adipocytes of the immediate subchondral. In turn, the next subadjacent pressure may be 16 MPa, the next may be 15 MPa and so forth.

In this theoretical example, the surface load is high but no trabeculae sees more than 1 MPa of pressure. Note also that each adipocyte contributes a surface area that adds to that of the other adipocytes to produce a very large surface area. This, then is the pressure gradient descending away from the loading point and protecting each contributing member as it does so. The femoral head is a deformable structure, filled with incompressible fluid and divided into a flexible framework of elastic trabecular

A closed compartment within a painful knee (note the changes of apparent osteoarthritis in the distal interphalangeal joints of the right hand).

**Professor Peter Simkin**

Peter Simkin, MD, Professor Emeritus, Division of Rheumatology, University of Washington, challenges our current understanding of the physiology of weight bearing in human joints. His fascinating ideas tackle a long-known conundrum – the ability of human joints to safely handle seemingly excessive burdens of the load during normal movement. Here, we take a closer look at the revolutionary concept that marrow fat plays a central role as a shock distributor, distributing the energy of impact loading throughout bones within weight-bearing joints.
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Schematic picture of an open, trabecular region. The walls are rod-like and stiff, marrow flows freely from each space to the next and both the tensile stress and the intra-osseous pressure are minimal.

**Turning our understanding of weight-bearing joints on its head is Professor Peter Simkin, MD, Professor Emeritus, Division of Rheumatology, University of Washington.**

marrow fat and water freely move when the bone is compressed, fluid escapes and consequently energy goes directly into the trabeculae – the stress is borne entirely by compression of the trabecular walls.

**FEELING THE (INTRAOSSEOUS) PRESSURE**

Type 1 collagen is the predominant material that makes up tendons and ligaments and can withstand high levels of stress subjected to these tissues. Noting that the trabecular bone also contains high levels of the same collagen type 1 protein, Dr Simkin proposes that in the closed model this strong, elastic, type 1 collagen within the trabecular walls is capable of withstanding impressive levels of tensile stress subjected to it by the marrow fat. By distributing energy widely to trabecular walls with high levels of tensile strength, Dr Simkin argues that marrow fat could explain the capacity of weight-bearing joints that Wolff proposed, coping with pressures imparted by normal joint loading.

Dr Simkin’s hypothesis also provides an explanation for discrepancies in data obtained from many research studies investigating bone mechanics. Limitations of these studies mean that they are far removed from the in vivo ‘closed’ system and act more like an ‘open’ system. For example, studies are usually carried out on the bone from elderly donors. With age, the trabeculae become thicker, stiffer and less capable of pressurisation under load, reducing its hydraulic resistance, which Dr Simkin proposes will yield results pointing to an open model, reducing the capacity to distribute and store energy under load.

Dr Simkin’s ideas have exciting implications not only for normal physiology but also in age and disease. As the joint ages its trabecular walls stiffen, the gaps between them widen, and the system approaches the open system that has been studied elsewhere. Similarly, in osteoarthritis, thinning of trabeculae causes loss of the closed system, which degrades the hydraulic spring mechanism.

Rather than being a simple shock absorber, Dr Simkin’s fascinating hypothesis that marrow fat plays a key role in distributing the energy of impact loading throughout bones within weight-bearing joints really does explain that spring in the step.